EPI BRIEFING PAPER
ECONOMIC POLICY INSTITUTE • APRIL 29, 2008 • BRIEFING PAPER #217

INVESTING IN U. S. INFRASTRUCTURE
Promoting economic stimulus and growth

BY JOHN IRONS

The Agenda for Shared Prosperity’s central aim is to articulate policy options that will spur growth, reduce economic insecurity, and provide broadly shared prosperity. A central component of achieving individual economic opportunity is ensuring that the economy is growing at a solid pace—both by smoothing the short-term dips and by promoting investments for long-term growth.

Public investments in the nation’s infrastructure, which lay the foundation for long-term growth, have been insufficient in recent years. Visible catastrophic failures are evident in the breach of the levees in New Orleans, the collapse of a major bridge in Minneapolis, and power blackouts that flowed from the Mid-West to New York City. Less visible failures are evident in the slow seepage of sewers into our waterways and in the slow deployment of broadband Internet access.

In a time of economic weakness, public investments in the nation’s infrastructure can provide short-term stimulus and build the foundation for long-term economic growth.

The Economic Policy Institute initiative for solutions that match the scale of the problems.

www.SharedProsperity.org
growth. Federal investments in infrastructure, including transportation, school buildings, and information networks, are required to address critical national needs and to create jobs and spur the economy.

**Job stimulus**

While federal rebates set to arrive this summer will provide some boost to consumer spending, other simulative policies should be set in motion to ensure against prolonged weakness. EPI’s *Strategy for Economic Rebound* highlighted the role that accelerated federal investments in priority areas—including bridges, roads, schools, and environmental infrastructure—could play in stimulating the economy in the short-run.

With many economists suggesting that an economic recession may have already begun, such spending would provide an important backstop to extended job losses. The public believes that the economy is poor and getting worse. In a recent CBS News/New York Times poll, 78% said the condition of the U.S. economy is fairly or very bad and nearly as many (70%) thought it was getting worse.¹

One complement to the current rebate policy is to put Americans to work building or repairing needed capital assets as suggested below. This work puts money in the pockets of those workers immediately, and it can lead to higher productivity in the future. The economic activity and jobs directly created by this spending also have a beneficial multiplier effect as the additional money ripples throughout the economy. Timing is important: investments for the purpose of short-term stimulus can emphasize repairs in which the work can start and be completed quickly. However, unemployment will probably

---

¹ Source: CBS News/New York Times poll.
continue to rise in 2009, and the need for stimulus will continue as well.

These additional investments would start to reverse the declining trend in overall federal investment as a share of the economy (see Figure A). Constructing a package that helps address national needs now accelerates investments that ought to be made in any case. Thus, public investments begun now can boost short-run job creation while advancing long-run productivity.\(^2\)

**Transportation**

With gas and oil prices climbing to record highs, it is more important than ever to build a transportation infrastructure that is efficient at getting people to their destination. With the need to reduce carbon emissions, our modes of transportation will likely have to change significantly, yet the current system is already over stressed.

The collapse of the I-35 bridge in Minneapolis last August was a stark reminder that we cannot take for granted the safety of the nation’s bridges. While it was ultimately determined that a design flaw contributed to the collapse, the accident brought nationwide attention to the issue of bridge safety. Underfunding of transportation generally has led to a large number of structurally deficient bridges and roadways that are in need of maintenance and repair. A recent report by the American Society of Civil Engineers found that:

Between 2000 and 2003, the percentage of the nation’s 590,750 bridges rated structurally defi-

cient or functionally obsolete decreased slightly from 28.5% to 27.1%. However, it will cost $9.4 billion a year for 20 years to eliminate all bridge deficiencies. Long-term underinvestment is compounded by the lack of a federal transportation program.\(^3\)

Over-stressed roads cause congestion, which in turn leads to lost work hours and additional energy consumption. On average, Americans spend more than 100 hours per year commuting to work according to the U.S. Census.\(^4\) One estimate puts the fuel loss due to congestion at over 2.8 billion gallons, and over $78 billion including travel delay times.\(^5\)

Other modes of transportation are under stress as well. In Washington, D.C., for example, subway ridership is expected to increase by 42% over the next 25 years, necessitating a purchase of 220 new cars to ensure it can handle the excess capacity.\(^6\) Other localities are facing similar challenges.

The federal government has traditionally played a strong role in financing transportation projects in partnership with state and local governments. The obvious importance of the transportation infrastructure to the nation’s economy requires a renewed emphasis on developing a comprehensive strategy, not just a project-by-project approach to federal funding.

Estimates of the effects of construction spending vary: the Federal Highway Administration estimates that every $1 billion spent on transportation infrastructure creates 47,000

---

**ENERGY AND TRANSPORTATION**

The need to move toward energy independence and to combat global warming poses significant challenges for transportation infrastructure. Most efforts to curb energy use and greenhouse gas emissions have focused on transportation technologies, by, for example, modifying the required vehicle fuel standards or by subsidizing hybrid technology.

However, the role of infrastructure cannot be ignored. In addition to reducing congestion (see above), steps can be taken to effectively plan urban development to reduce commute times and energy usage. For example, investments in light-rail and other forms of municipal mass transit can simultaneously reduce congestion, energy usage, and commute times.
jobs (or more) and up to $6 billion in additional gross domestic product. With the housing market in decline, construction employment fell by more than 360,000 jobs since March 2007, leaving a ready labor force to begin new projects.

School maintenance and modernization
There are approximately 50 million children and 6 million adults who attend or work in about 97,000 public schools and administrative buildings on a daily basis as of 2007. These schools represent about 6.6 billion square feet of building space, and over 1 million acres of land. In 1999, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) estimated the average age of public school buildings to be about 40 years.

Maintaining this stock is expensive. Public K-12 schools throughout the nation need to spend an estimated $17 billion a year to maintain existing structures and grounds. And there is evidence that many schools are falling behind. According to an NCES survey in 1999, 76% of all schools reported that they had deferred maintenance of their buildings and needed additional funding to bring them up to standard. The total deferred maintenance exceeded $100 billion, an estimate in line with earlier findings by the Government Accounting Office (GAO). In New York City alone, officials have identified $1.7 billion of deferred maintenance projects on 800 city school buildings. The American Society of Civil Engineers in 1998 gave public school infrastructure a grade of “F.” By 2005, schools raised their grade to a “D.”

While most school funding is at the local and state levels, the federal government can play an important role in stimulating school investment. This spending is important because a well-educated national workforce is essential to maintaining a growing economy in the global marketplace—we cannot continue to tell our children that education is essential while at the same time allowing our schools to crumble.

As suggested by a new report for EPI’s Agenda for Shared Prosperity, closing the maintenance and repair gaps—through a $20 billion one-time federal contribution—would allow schools to improve student health, help retain teachers, and improve the quality of teaching and learning, while at the same time generating close to 250,000 jobs.

Looking farther out, the United States should reduce the disparity of building quality across school districts by, in part, establishing a federal commitment to school districts in low-income communities. Matching the 10% the federal government pays for operating expenses with a 10% national share of capital outlays would infuse nearly $6 billion a year into school building funds. Further, by making energy-saving and “green” building a priority, school districts could save money that they would otherwise spend on energy costs, allowing them to spend more on teachers, books, and computers.
Information networks
As the United States moves into a 21st century information age, the nation needs to take a broader view of the kinds of infrastructure that are important for the economy. In the past, market commerce was conducted primarily by physical roads; in the future, telecommunications will play an increasingly important role in creating products and marketplaces.

Access to a well-developed information infrastructure is critical to future economic growth and to full participation in the modern economy. Ebay and Amazon.com are the most obvious of the new electronic markets, but there are many other examples of the growing networked economy—computer software and development services are conducted remotely; banking is done increasingly online; and online presence is growing more intertwined with “real” life. Low-income Americans who do not have access to the Internet are increasingly at a disadvantage, whether it comes to job hunting or bargain hunting—or simply keeping up with mainstream culture.

Unfortunately the United States has fallen behind in the development of this critical infrastructure, and more needs to be done to 1) ensure affordable access, and 2) improve the quality and reliability of the nation’s Internet. The example of broadband Internet access is illustrative of the need to upgrade the U.S. information infrastructure.

Broadband Internet access
The United States currently ranks 15th of 30 developed countries in overall broadband penetration as measured by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Nations that have prioritized broadband infrastructure have already seen improvements. For example, Denmark’s broadband penetration reached 34 connections per 100 inhabitants in 2007, while the United States had just 22 per 100.

Expanding broadband infrastructure in the United States would not simply improve the speed of our connections for entertainment purposes, but it would also bring a wealth of knowledge to more citizens in more areas. With greater reach, the United States could see improvements in education, health care, and first-responder capabilities as communications become faster, more efficient, and more effective. More extensive broadband might also allow for greater telecommuting and teleconferencing, and thus also help to reduce travel and energy demands.

The United States has also fallen behind in the deployment of new broadband technologies. Fiber optic broadband has been blended into the telecomm systems of Japan, South Korea, and other countries, giving them a huge lead in this newest, fastest connection: over 35% of Japan’s Internet connections are fiber optic whereas only 3% of U.S. connections are.

This failure to lead in broadband expansion has consequences beyond the narrowly economic. Internet connectivity is becoming increasingly important for national security, responding to natural disasters, health care communications, and educational uses.

Given these overarching concerns there is a strong national interest in promoting broadband infrastructure. As noted by Mark Lloyd, redundancy is key:

We have a wide-range of technologies available to communicate effectively. We should not choose between satellite broadband, Wi-Fi and Wi-Max, wireless broadband, power-line communications, and optical fiber networks—all of these technologies should be invested in along with new developing technologies to protect our defense and emergency needs at home.

The national response cannot be to simply leave it to the private market—national leadership is essential. Network externalities and market spillovers may lead to private under-investment generally. Private companies will have incentives to build out to targeted communities with high densities and high incomes; however, they too often leave out low-income neighborhoods and rural locations. Specific policies to address this shortfall might include:

- loan guarantees and grants to states for public/private partnerships to accelerate broadband deployment and adoption, especially in rural and underserved areas;
- funding for technology demonstration projects that might yield social value; and
- augmenting universal service programs to incorporate the support of broadband in high-cost and underserved areas.
Conclusion
Additional strategic public investments in national infrastructure—including transportation, education, and information—would both spur the economy in the short-run and increase the economy’s productive capacity in the long-run.

National policies can address these infrastructure needs in a variety of ways, including through additional direct funding, the provision of grants and loan guarantees to states, and the support of technological innovation. The sooner these investments are made, the sooner the economy will start reaping the rewards.
One widely held concern about including spending in a stimulus package is that there will be delays and the economic benefits will come too late to help offset the rising unemployment. However, there are many areas of needed public investment where projects with completed plans are already identified—the only element missing is the funding. This is especially true when examining repairs to existing infrastructure, where the projects can be undertaken as well as completed relatively quickly.


See Texas Transportation Institute data on congestion at http://mobility.tamu.edu/ums/congestion_data/tables/national/table_2.pdf.


The online world SecondLife logged-in over 735,000 “residents” in the month proceeding April 20th, and recorded a total of over 19 million monetary transactions in March alone.


For example, see the various efforts of Carl Malamud, http://www.media.org/carl.html to make public information available via the Internet.